## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
v. Jose Oscar Duran-Rubio	Case No. 1:09-cr-00259-PLM	
Defendant		
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail R that the defendant be detained pending trial.	teform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
Part I –	Findings of Fact	
	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U. which the prison term is 10 years or mo	S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for ore.	
an offense for which the maximum sentence	is death or life imprisonment.	
an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
a felony committed after the defendant had b U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 ate or local offenses.	
any felony that is not a crime of violence but a minor victim	involves:	
	m or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon S.C. § 2250	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	I while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	e date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pres person or the community. I further find that defend	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe ant has not rebutted that presumption.	
Alternat	tive Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	ant has committed an offense	
for which a maximum prison term of ten year Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 e		
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es defendant's appearance and the safety of the comr	stablished by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the	
	tive Findings (B)	
✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not an		
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endar	nger the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II – Statement o	of the Reasons for Detention	
I find that the testimony and information submitted at evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:  1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to c 2. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention	e released in any case.	

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	September 29, 2009	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge